

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Francesca Irwin, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 1</b>  <i>I move that the CEO report to Council on the feasibility of Midland Railway Workshops' City Square as an event space and advocate for a Midland Railway Heritage Museum at the Workshops.</i></p>	<p>The City is keen to see the Railway Square area used as an event space. Whilst the area and building remain under the control of Development WA, the City has negotiated an access agreement to enable the community to book and use this space for events and activities via the City. Any inquiries on this should be directed to the City for assistance. The City is currently developing its advocacy plan for 24-28 and will consider this suggestion for a Railway Heritage Museum as part of its future plan and report to Council at that time.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Francesca Irwin, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 2:</b>  <i>The City of Swan advertise and conduct an open public information session about the current status of the Midland Oval, including;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i) what land has been sold or is subject to a Heads of Agreement.</i></li> <li><i>ii) subdivision plans completed.</i></li> <li><i>iii) works to be completed and time frames.</i></li> <li><i>iv) which of the 4 scenarios in the business plan will likely be adopted.</i></li> </ul>	<p>September 2023 Ordinary Council meeting and is now accessible on both the City's and New Junction websites. The upcoming New Junction annual report for the 2022-2023 fiscal year is anticipated to be presented to Council at the May Ordinary Council meeting and will be accessible on the City's website. The report will provide a snapshot of project activities during the year including details of land that has been sold or is subject to a Heads of Agreement.</p> <p><b>No further report is required.</b></p>
Smadar Turner, Swan View	<p><b>Motion 3:</b>  <i>Council to remove the Eastlink project from the City's priority list.</i></p>	<p>The City is currently developing its advocacy plan for 2024-2028 and will consider this suggestion. On this basis a further Council report is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Patrick Irwin, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 4:</b>  <i>I move that all land in the MORM precinct owned by the City of Swan, not sold or subject to Heads of Agreement to date is set aside as green Public Open Space.</i></p>	<p>The City currently has a Business Plan (statutory requirement under the Local Government Act), and an endorsed master plan which sets out the POS and development sites. Apart from requiring a modified Business Plan for the project under the Local Government Act, changing all the land identified in the Motion to POS will require modifications to the structure plan, and zoning of the properties, which requires approval by the WAPC/Minister and therefore not solely under the authority of the Council. It will also impact current lease arrangements in instances where buildings on that land are being leased.</p> <p>A recent Council resolution in November 2022 reconfirmed the Council's position to proceed with the implementation of the New Junction Project. This work is ongoing.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Patrick Irwin, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 5:</b>  <i>I move that the Midland Town Centre, comprising the State heritage listed Crown triangle including the Town Hall, the old Post Office, Carnegie Gardens, the Connolly Building Shops and the Ascension Church, and extending down old Great Northern Highway, as far as The Avenue, along Great</i></p>	<p>The Heritage Act 2018 (the Act) requires local government to identify places of cultural heritage significance in a Local Heritage Survey (LHS). The Act identifies the purpose of the LHS, which in Part 8 s104(e) states "assisting the local government in preparing a heritage list or list of heritage areas under a local planning scheme." Having identified individual places, groups and precincts in the LHS, consideration can then be given as to whether this indicates any significant areas that should be investigated as a potential heritage area. The City of Swan is currently</p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
	<p><i>Eastern Highway to MJAC and along Helena Street to the railway, be assessed as a Heritage or Conservation Place or Precinct for inclusion on the City's Heritage List.</i></p>	<p>reviewing its LHS, which included a call for nominations in March 2023 for Stage 1, which included Midland. The results of those assessments will be publicly advertised later in 2024. Through the process of researching and assessing heritage places as part of the LHS review, the City can consider if there is a need for any part/s of Midland to be declared as a heritage area. The deemed provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (the deemed provisions) identify that a heritage area should be designated "if, in the opinion of the local government, special planning control is needed to conserve and enhance the cultural heritage significance and character of an area...". A local planning policy is then required for each heritage area proposed for designation.</p> <p>It is noted that the Midland Town Hall, Midland Court House, Lotteries House and Midland Post Office are all individually listed on the State Register of Heritage Places (HCWA 2509, HCWA 2511, HCWA 2513) and therefore already afforded the highest level of heritage protection. These sites, including Carnegie Triangle are owned by the City of Swan and have their own heritage management plans to ensure their maintenance. The other sites identified along old Great Northern Highway are included in the City's LHS. It would be premature to initiate a new heritage area before the conclusion of the LHS Review, as these properties are all being reviewed. Considerable City resources have been allocated to review the LHS. These same resources would need to be diverted to create a new local planning policy, required for a newly designated heritage area. The matter will be addressed when the review of the LHS is brought to Council for consideration.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Lynn Deering, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 6:</b> <i>Regarding the motions endorsed at the Annual Electors meeting (AEM) the CEO report to Council about the following;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) <i>within 6 months of the (AEM) how the electors' motions are to be completed including;</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i) <i>how the AEM motions will come to Council</i></li><li>ii) <i>the timeline for completion</i></li></ul></li><li>b) <i>once a motion is decided by Council that the outcome should appear on the City of Swan website and the person who put the motion, notified</i></li><li>c) <i>a report with details about the status and outcome, of the AEM motions on the City of Swan (website)</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i) <i>at least one month before the next annual electors meeting, and</i></li><li>ii) <i>at the next annual electors meeting.</i></li></ul></li></ul>	<p>It is only the decisions of Council on the motions passed at an AEM that become actionable. All such decisions are published as part of the Minutes of the relevant Council meeting. The City currently provides an update on the previous year's motions and any relevant decisions of Council at the subsequent annual electors meeting.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Lynn Deering, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 7:</b> <i>That the City of Swan reinstate public deputation time to 5 minutes at Council meetings, by amending the meeting procedures and protocols, by mid-2024.</i></p>	<p>A local law review due to its statutory nature is a lengthy process that can take up to 18 months to complete and does come at a cost. The current Meeting Procedures Local Law (MPLL) had an extensive review over a protracted period and was adopted by Council at its meeting on 12 July 2023. In the current MPLL, it is possible for a deputation to exceed 3 minutes with approval from the Presiding Member or by resolution of Council. The Department of Local Government is developing a standard meeting procedure for the sector, which when adopted will replace the City's MPLL.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Simon Ashby, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 8:</b> <i>That the City of Swan hold a public information session to update residents of Woodbridge and Midland and outline the details of the forthcoming four lane highway development and interchange at Morrison Road and Great Eastern Highway.</i></p>	<p>This project is being managed by Main Roads WA (MRWA) and relates to State Road infrastructure. The project does not currently have a planned construction date as MRWA is still working through the initial stages of design. Current project updates are publicly available on MRWA's website. City staff will request that MRWA hold an information session on this matter. This is an operational matter and does not require a further report to Council.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Phillip Woods, Lockridge	<p><b>Motion 9:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>That the City immediately release all costs and time delays relating to the Daviot and Benara Road roundabout.</i></li> <li><i>In future all information relating to projects that have commenced within the Altone Ward be released to ratepayers of the city, including costs, delays and an explanation provided if these projects are delayed for any reason.</i></li> </ol>	<p>Each month, the City releases a Finance Management Report, containing a section detailing the costs of its capital works projects, accessible to the public.</p> <p>Furthermore, for the Daviot Road and Benara Road Roundabout project, the City maintains a Major Project Page. This page includes a FAQ section addressing delays, along with updates shared via Facebook posts to inform the public about the reasons behind the delays.</p> <p>As this information has been reported to Council and available for the community, a Council report is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Phillip Woods, Lockridge	<p><b>Motion 10:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>That the City of Swan urgently address the problem of traffic congestion on Lord Street, Caversham and Lockridge, and this is placed on the Cities Advocacy list of projects to receive priority funding to alleviate the congestion, additionally, that some form of traffic mitigation be put in place between Benara Road and Morley Drive until a permanent solution can be found.</i></li> <li><i>That the City of Swan immediately undertake a traffic study between Benara Road and Morley Drive to determine the volume and type of vehicles</i></li> </ol>	<p>The City recently completed a Local Area Traffic Management area for Lockridge at the direction of Council. Lord Street is not suitable for traffic mitigation treatments, as this is likely to divert vehicles onto local roads causing safety issues and rat running on local streets. Long-term traffic modelling indicates that Lord Street needs duplicating and Daviot Road will need to connect into Lord Street to alleviate the current traffic concerns. As the above actions are already being undertaken, a Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
	<p><i>utilising this section of the road and to release a report to the electors for their information.</i></p> <p>3. <i>Release to ratepayers any concept designs that have been undertaken previously to address any traffic issues</i></p>	
Veronica Hughes, Bennett Springs	<p><b>Motion 11:</b></p> <p><i>Council to implement better communication with residents on Bennett Springs Drive across the road from the Jay Shree Swamiyaram Temple due to the constant destruction of land and trees around our homes.</i></p>	<p><i>City staff have recorded the request to prune and maintain trees to a minimum, whilst still ensuring the health of the trees. As this is an operational matter, a Council report is not considered necessary.</i></p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
William Karoll, Gidgegannup	<p><b>Motion 12:</b></p> <p><i>That the City of Swan introduce a significant tree register. This will encourage a greater appreciation and respect for trees.</i></p>	<p>The City has investigated the introduction of a significant tree register as part of the preparation of a tree retention policy approach. This was found to not be beneficial due to the ineffectiveness of this approach. The City's approach to retaining and improving its urban forest is managed via the following policies and guidelines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community education initiatives</li> <li>• The City's Urban Forest Plan Action P1.1</li> <li>• Tree Retention and Management Local Planning Policy (in development)</li> <li>• Tree Guidelines</li> <li>• Planned tree planting</li> </ul> <p>Councillors are scheduled to be briefed on the Tree Retention Local Planning Policy, currently under development, in April 2024, whereafter a report that will address the recommended approach to retaining trees will be put to a formal Council Meeting. A separate Council report on this matter is therefore not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
William Karoll, Gidgegannup	<p><b>Motion 13:</b></p> <p><i>That the City of Swan have a dedicated environmental officer / natural assets manager to oversee the biodiversity that exists and implement the local biodiversity strategy.</i></p>	<p>Major projects and developments within Midland are predominantly subject to individual public consultation during which all parties are offered the opportunity to provide input on such proposal/s.</p> <p>Environmental management and the implementation of strategies from the City's Local Biodiversity Strategy involve multiple City departments. The City has a number of staff members within these different departments who deliver programs, works and services related to the natural environment. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Marilena Stimpfl, South Guildford	<p><b>Motion 14:</b>  <i>Noting that the Local Government Advisory Board established by the Local Government Act 1995 evaluates proposals for changes to local government boundaries; and can accept proposals for change from the Minister for Local Government, local governments themselves, or from electors; the eligible electors at this Annual Meeting of Electors of the City of Swan hereby request this Council to progress the following;</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>That the City of Swan Council, in the interests of good governance, reviews the south-western boundaries and suburbs of the district to consider whether there are synergies for some or all those urban communities to be represented by adjoining Local Authority, the Town of Bassendean; and</i></li> <li>2. <i>That the City of Swan engage with the Town of Bassendean Council to ascertain receptiveness for collaboration with the City to develop a joint proposal in relation to potential boundary changes for consideration by the Local Government Advisory Board; and</i></li> <li>3. <i>Notes that the Local Government Advisory Board can assess the merits or otherwise of any boundary realignment proposal either formally or informally; and should the City of Swan and Town of Bassendean councils wish to proceed, the Local Government Advisory Board will undertake targeted consultation with affected communities and stakeholders for due consideration prior to making any recommendations to the Minister.</i></li> </ol>	<p>A proposal may be made to the Advisory Board by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) The Minister; or</li> <li>b) An affected local government; or</li> <li>c) 2 or more affected local governments, jointly; or</li> <li>d) Affected electors who –             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are at least 250 in number; or</li> <li>2. Are at least 10% of the total number of affected electors.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>It is open to community members to initiate the provisions of point (d)a. above, however, in the absence of demonstrated community support for this proposal, no further action is recommended.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Richard James, Bullsbrook	<p><b>Motion 15:</b> <i>All Development Applications within Sewerage Sensitive Areas, and where Aerobic Treatment Units (ATU) are required, conditions must include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <i>a Groundwater Level Monitoring Bore to be installed to ensure the required separation between the ATU waste outlet and groundwater. Failure to achieve this separation at all times will result in the closure of the Development until such time as the separation is achieved.</i></li> <li>b. <i>Groundwater Quality Monitoring Bores (minimum 1 per 50 meters downgradient boundary) be installed downgradient of the development, and monitored on a quarterly basis to ensure no nutrients or contaminants are flowing into groundwater. Failure to achieve this condition will result in the development's closure until the pollution's cause is identified and rectified.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The motion seeks to make it mandatory that a development application, under certain circumstances, shall only be approved with the conditions outlined in the motion applied. However, it is not possible to mandate how the exercise of discretion on a development application is to be undertaken by a decision maker – whether that be the Council, or a Development Assessment Panel or the State Administrative Tribunal exercising the functions of either of the former.</p> <p>City staff, with advice from the State environmental agencies, recommend to a decision maker appropriate conditions of development approval to ensure a development does not adversely impact groundwater quality.</p> <p>A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Anne Janes, Bullsbrook	<p><b>Motion 16:</b> <i>On behalf of the Bullsbrook Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc, we ask that the City of Swan bring their Fire Preparedness Education and Active Monitoring forward to educate landowners to prepare their properties BEFORE the ground and vegetation dries out rather than when the ground and vegetation is tinder dry. The arrival of the Compliance letter is now too late to begin preparation. We ask the City of Swan to amend their timelines accordingly with regard to Fire Preparedness and Compliance.</i></p>	<p>The City acknowledges that with climate change and bushfire seasons often starting earlier, such as this 2023/24 season which started earlier than those of the last 8 years, the City will need to continually adapt. As a result, active awareness raising and monitoring has increased and does start earlier now.</p> <p>The City has various initiatives, both before and during bushfire season to raise the level of community preparedness and encourage residents to take pro-active action on bushfire risks. Residents are also encouraged to prepare their properties year-round to reduce bushfire fuels on their property and ensure compliance with the City's Annual Fire Hazard Reduction Notice. The City offers a free service to residents during the non-summer months of the year, to provide property specific information and advice on reducing bushfire risk. The City also sends a reminder letter and uses its media channels to promote awareness of getting prepared for fire season from September each year. The City then annually inspects over 4,000 fire prone properties and a vast majority (90%) of them are deemed compliant on first inspection.</p> <p>The City is grateful that the community is committed to reducing bush fire risk. While non-stocked properties must be compliant by November 1, the City pre- inspects properties that were deemed non-compliant the previous year, during October, to try and ensure earlier action can be taken. It is acknowledged that some mitigation work still occurs during the peak of</p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Claire Scanlan, Guildford	<b>Motion 17:</b> <i>I move a motion to decrease the CEOs delegated powers in planning matters by reducing the need of 11 objections required before an issue becomes an agenda item to 3 objections. The requirement for 11 objections is unreasonable and is resulting in decisions being made without affected residents having the right to give a public deputation at Council meetings and without Councillors even being aware of what has happened.</i>	<p>bushfire season and this is often due to availability of contractors or properties which are running stock and therefore are not required to be compliant until December 1 each year. The City will continue to review and adapt its approach to try and get the best outcome in bushfire preparedness.</p> <p>Based on the above, the City will continue to adapt its timelines for bushfire preparedness. It is not considered necessary for any further report to Council on this matter.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p> <p>National Cabinet's National Planning Reform Blueprint, to which the Government of Western Australia is committed, outlines various actions to improve housing supply. Two of these identified actions are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Streamlining approval pathways</li><li>• Reforms to address barriers to the timely issuing of development approvals.</li></ul> <p>Within the planning framework in Western Australia, it is accepted that a key action that influences both of the above objectives is the delegation of planning application decision making power from a Council to the Council administration. The Western Australian Local Government Association benchmarking of the planning functions of metropolitan local governments includes measurements of the proportion of development applications determined by delegation. Data from the most recent WALGA statistics indicate a strong correlation between timely determinations and delegated determinations. Within the context of the City of Swan, seeking to have Council determine more development applications works against the timely issuing of development approvals within a system where the Council of the City currently meets monthly.</p> <p>To have Council determine more development applications by reducing delegation but still ensure the timely determination of such applications, would in the opinion of City staff, require more frequent Council meetings. This is likely to be undesirable for both administrative and financial reasons.</p> <p>Aside from whether the motion is inconsistent with the objectives of planning reform, which ostensibly is for the benefit of the community as a whole, City staff consider the motion has very real, demonstrable implications for the performance of the Council. The change to the delegation to which this motion relates was undertaken in November of 2022 (where the conditions on delegation increased the objection calling threshold for planning applications from 3 objections to 10 objections). Such a change was proposed by the administration in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• "Free up" Council decision making at meetings to focus on strategic considerations.</li></ul>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Urgently redress the inability of Council to complete its agenda business within a single 4-hour meeting allotted per month, given the size of the agendas inclusive of the number of planning applications within them.</li> </ul> <p>In the calendar years of 2021 and 2022 before the introduction of the current 10 objection threshold to planning delegation, 5 of 13 Council meetings in 2021 were not completed within the allotted 4 hours and were adjourned. In 2022 7 of 11 Council meetings were not completed within the allotted 4 hours and were adjourned. Subsequent to the introduction of the current expanded delegation, which has substantially reduced the number of planning applications on the agenda, only 1 of 11 Council meetings in 2023 was not completed in the allotted 4 hours and had to be adjourned.</p> <p>The changes to delegations were approved by Council as part of the adopted Action Plan submitted to the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries.</p> <p>City staff consider that the motion to wind back the delegation and increase the numbers of development applications determined by Council will cause a reversion to high levels of adjourned Council meetings with all the adverse collateral implications that this entails. The Delegation of Authority Register is reviewed annually in June.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Cherie Fazio-Giffen, Midland	<p><b>Motion 18:</b>  <i>I move that where a development application proposes to adversely impact the amenity of an adjacent property by seeking to vary the Deemed-to-Comply or Acceptable Development Criteria requirements of the Residential Design Codes Volumes 1 &amp; 2 by a significant degree, it should not be approved under Delegated Authority and should instead be referred Council for determination at an Ordinary Meeting of Council.</i></p>	<p>This motion is similar to the proceeding motion in seeking to wind back delegation on determination of planning applications. In addition to the observations made on the proceeding motion, which also apply here, the motion as worded is problematic as far as it has expressed the qualifying provision for limiting delegation to applications proposing to vary deemed provisions to a “significant degree”. This is subjective and with broad scope for interpretation. Notwithstanding Council staff are well placed to interpret where a variation is significant so as to have, in their opinion, an adverse impact on the amenity of adjoining property and where having formed such a view the application is required to be presented to Council for rejection. It is not considered necessary for any further report to Council on this matter.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Claire Scanlan, Guildford	<p><b>Motion 19:</b>  <i>I raise the motion that the City of Swan advocate for a safe pedestrian crossing to be installed as a matter of urgency on James Street, near Attfield Street. This point is identified in the cycle strategy previously adopted by council and is the missing link for residents to access East Guildford Train station, the PSP, schools and school bus services.</i></p>	<p>James Street near Attfield Street in Guildford forms part of the State Road network requiring Main Roads WA endorsement for any pedestrian crossing treatments. Presently, there is a link cross James Street via a median island cut-through allowing for a twostage crossing which is the typical crossing arrangement for this road environment.</p> <p>The City has plans to investigate a crossing improvement at this location in a future year based on its priority relative to other cycle network improvement projects.</p> <p>It is not considered necessary for any further report to Council on this matter.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>



# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Humphrey Boogaardt, Henley Brook	<p><b>Motion 20:</b>  <i>That the City of Swan ceases all works, land acquisitions, planning and construction of Henley Brook Avenue south of Park St, Henley Brook / Brabham, to the intersection of West Swan Road and the Reid Highway.</i></p>	<p>There is an existing Council resolution being enacted in relation to this issue.</p> <p>At the Ordinary Council meeting on 17 March 2021 Council resolved to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Put on hold all works and land resumptions in relation to Henley Brook Avenue South of Park Street, except traffic modelling.</li> <li>2. Immediately contact the Minister for Transport; Planning for confirmation on the proposals and discussions recently held with community groups about the future of Henley Brook Avenue, including alternative alignments.</li> <li>3. Upon receiving advice from the Minister’s Office regarding point 2 above, the City Officers give a presentation to a future City of Swan Briefing meeting to provide updated information, seek direction and advice of Council including proposed methods of community consultation moving forward.</li> <li>4. Record the reason for changing the officer recommendation as:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. As noted in the City of Swan Agenda Item 5.2 - 17 March 2021 it highlights that Henley Brook Avenue is a “blue road” in the Metropolitan Regional Scheme and therefore its planning control is under the Western Australian Planning Commission through the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, thereby supporting this recommendation.</li> <li>b. Given the relevant and important community concerns raised on the current proposal regarding Henley Brook Avenue, it is necessary to seek immediate clarification and advice from the State Government as a matter of priority</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>The City has completed the traffic modelling and provided a copy of the results to the Minister and to Main Roads WA. City staff have not yet received a formal response from the Ministers office. A presentation will be provided at a future Council briefing. All works remain on hold pending the advice from the Minsters office and council briefing.</p> <p>This matter has already been the subject of a Council report, so a further report is considered unnecessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Deanna Rockich, Guildford	<p><b>Motion 21:</b>  <i>The City of Swan cease the removal of healthy, mature trees on all City of Swan owned land given Perth’s tree canopy crisis that is compounded by the Shot-Hole</i></p>	<p><b>No further report required.</b></p> <p>The City has a Tree Guideline for retention, planting, or removal. Trees are typically only removed if they pose a risk to safety, infrastructure, or property, are non-endemic trees removed as part of site rehabilitation works or there are no feasible alternatives to removal</p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
	<i>Borer/Beetle, which is illustrated by the well documented tree losses in Kings Park and Hyde Park.</i>	<p>when constructing new infrastructure. In these cases, the City aims to facilitate additional offset planting in a suitable nearby location.</p> <p>The City's Tree Guidelines includes recommended tree species for use in public spaces. Eighteen of these species have been identified by the Department of Primary Industries Regional Development (DPIRD) as a Polyphagous shot-hole borer (PSHB) reproductive host tree. City staff are reviewing the suitability of these identified host trees for future City tree planting projects. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Deanna Rockich, Guildford	<p><b>Motion 22:</b> <i>The City of Swan to increase tree planting and improve tree sapling care across all suburbs, with a holistic approach in mind, and employ tree species not susceptible to the Shot-Hole Borer/Beetle, which is leading to the well-documented tree losses in Kings Park and Hyde Park.</i></p>	<p>Under the Urban Forest Plan endorsed by Council, the City is planning to plant 1200 street trees per year. The City undertakes establishment watering for the first 2 years of trees in large scale planting projects.</p> <p>The City's Tree Guidelines includes recommended tree species for use in public spaces. Eighteen of these species have been identified by the Department of Primary Industries Regional Development (DPIRD) as a Polyphagous shot-hole borer (PSHB) reproductive host tree. City staff are reviewing the suitability of these identified host trees for future City tree planting projects.</p> <p>A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Phil Cloran, Midland	<p><b>Motion 23:</b> <i>That the proposed demolition of Arthur Cooper's house at 29 The Crescent, Midland, be considered by Council and is not to be done by delegated authority.</i></p>	<p>No.29 The Crescent Midland is not a heritage protected place and so to demolish the house is exempt from the need to obtain planning approval pursuant to Clause 61 of <b>Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.</b></p> <p>The Building Act provides no power to refuse a demolition permit where it is otherwise not inconsistent with the Planning and Development Act 2005.</p> <p>A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Phil Cloran, Midland	<p><b>Motion 24:</b> <i>For all proposed demolitions of pre-1946 houses in the City of Swan to be decided by Council rather than delegated authority.</i></p>	<p>Where houses are not part of a heritage protected place, the operation of Clause 61 of Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 exempts the need for planning approval and the building act provides no power to refuse a demolition permit. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>
Ann Winchester, Upper Swan	<p><b>Motion 25:</b> <i>That the list of Statutory Planning Approvals under Delegated Authority for any month be uploaded to the relevant website page within the second week of the following month.</i></p>	<p>After review and discussion with MS. Winchester the requested action has been put in place. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.</p> <p><b>No further report required.</b></p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Ann Winchester, Upper Swan	<p><b>Motion 26:</b>  <i>That ratepayers and residents' associations (and such like) within the City of Swan be given access to any advertised Development Applications relevant to their specific areas free of charge and without having to complete an FOI form.</i></p>	<p>The request has been accepted and is being actioned.            Advertised Development Applications relevant to their specific areas will be provided to ratepayers and resident associations free of charge and without having to complete an FOI form.            A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.  <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Ann Winchester, Upper Swan	<p><b>Motion 27:</b>  <i>That advertised Development Applications and other relevant documents be uploaded on the Swan engage website for Planning consultations to be available for public viewing.</i></p>	<p>The requested actions have been put in place. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.  <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Simon Ashby, Woodbridge	<p><b>Motion 28:</b>  <i>That the City of Swan hold a public information session to update residents on their position on the freight line realignment; preferred route, has land been reserved, pros and cons of their selection and time frame. We also request a report on the status of the freight line realignment position with respect to Federal and State Governments as the lack of information is preventing progress within the City of Swan.</i></p>	<p>There is a Planning Control Area over the proposed freight re-alignment that can be viewed online. The City has previously written to the Minister for Transport to request an update on the status of the MRS amendment. The matter is currently with the State and the City cannot speak to their current timeline. The Minister has previously advised that she does not support a further review of the proposed realignment.            A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.  <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Mark Richards, Bellevue	<p><b>Motion 29:</b>  <i>The City of Swan should promptly and permanently allocate water from the bore in Todd Park, Bellevue, to restore and maintain Station Park and Todd Park as usable public open spaces for the Bellevue Community.</i></p>	<p>The City has limited ground water allocations that are available for public open space. These allocations are set by the State rather than the City. If water is reallocated to Todd Park and Station Park, then it would need to be reduced in other areas. The City's water allocations will be further reduced in future and part of State Government water conservation initiatives. A Council report on this matter is not considered necessary.  <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<p><b>Motion 30:</b>  <i>For issues in regard to illegal parking on footpaths on streets of Dayton, Caversham and Bennett Springs be rectified so users can safely access the path, for example wheelchair users, carers with prams and pedestrians.</i></p>	<p>The City recognizes that there have been ongoing parking issues around these suburbs. As a result, the City has been conducting a targeted and ongoing education and enforcement campaign within these suburbs in relation to footpath parking and this has resulted in numerous enforcement actions. The City has also recently introduced a new Parking Local Law (2023) which can result in a \$180 infringement being issued for parking on a footpath. It is recommended that the City continue this targeted campaign in this area and no further report is required to Council.  <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Dianne Arvino, Bellevue	<p><b>Motion 31:</b>  <i>The City of Swan employ a dedicated coordinator of the Urban Tree Canopy programme for plantings and</i></p>	<p>The City requires a diverse range of expertise for the planning and upkeep of trees. The City currently employs a range of staff who work on the urban tree canopy including strategic planners, landscape architects, project managers, arborists, supervisors, coordinators, as well</p>

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions



Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
	<i>maintenance and maintain a register of plantings and survival rates by suburb.</i>	as a variety of maintenance teams and contractors. The current system for recording and reporting information aligns with the Urban Forest Plan endorsed by the Council. This is an operational matter and does not require a report to Council. <b>No further report required.</b>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<b>Motion 32:</b> <i>To have one or two 24/7 ranger for the city that can deal with general noise, building noise construction, construction rubbish, parking issues on the spot and not weeks/months later.</i>	Currently the Community Safety Ranger team operates from 7am until 7pm, 7 days a week. The most recent review of operating hours concluded that based on previous data analysis of incoming requests for assistance from Rangers, that there are limited requests for service outside of these hours and an insufficient number to justify the cost of a 24/7 Ranger service. Notwithstanding the above, between 7pm and 7am, there are emergency On Call provisions in place to address any urgent matters which the City can and does respond to. The City is currently reviewing and developing a new Community Safety Plan. This plan has several options that could include expanded after-hours services. This plan will be subject to Council review before finalisation. For the above reasons, it is recommended to continue the above actions and no further report to Council is required at this time. <b>No further report required.</b>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<b>Motion 33:</b> <i>For more canopy trees in the middle of roads of Dayton and at the entrances to Dayton, for example Arthur Street to look like Main Street in Ellenbrook, non-invasive root trees that do not affect the roads. Currently has paving in the middle of the road, if some blocks of pavers can be removed to add trees that would be great.</i>	Council resolved for additional tree planting around these areas in Dayton at the April 2023 Ordinary Council Meeting. Planting these trees is currently expected to occur this winter. This is an operational matter and does not require a report to Council. <b>No further report required.</b>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<b>Motion 34:</b> <i>For rangers/patrollers to monitor builder's bins on rounds and if they are full/overflowing to alert/fine the builder instead of waiting for the bins to overflow and for litter to be everywhere on the streets and residents to complain and only to deal with it months later.</i>	The Community Safety, Assets, Development Compliance and Building teams all monitor and manage a range of legislation to address building site waste, rubbish and general site management. The Community Safety Ranger team have recently undertaken further training in relation to illegal dumping, including that emanating from building sites. City officers will be taking further proactive patrols and enforcement action in relation to building sites over the next three months, as it has also been identified by the City, that currently there is increasingly poor management in regards to building site litter, therefore will be undertaking an audit across sites to ensure the provisions of the City's Consolidated Local Laws with reference to Builder's Rubbish are being complied with and a stronger compliance approach to enforcement will be taken. It is recommended to continue the above actions and no further report is required to Council. <b>No further report required.</b>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<b>Motion 35:</b> <i>For streets in Dayton where most building is going on to be swept at least fortnightly to avoid health and safety issues.</i>	The City is aware that sand drift caused by new developments in the area has been occurring, some of which has made its way onto roads in the area. The City currently undertakes additional sweeping of areas affected by this issue where possible. However, it is not practical

# Update of 2024 AEM Motions

Mover	Motion Summary	Comment
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<p><b>Motion 36:</b> <i>Push for vacant lands (especially commercial) to be built with urgency to finish the development of the suburb.</i></p>	<p>to increase sweeping for the whole suburb. Residents are encouraged to report specific affected areas to the City or by creating a Snap Send Solve request. This is an operational matter and does not require a report to Council. <b>No further report required.</b></p>
Gurdip Maan, Dayton	<p><b>Motion 37:</b> <i>Road on Arthur Street in Dayton very bumpy, and near community centre roundabouts, elbow of road drops, it has been refilled with gravel once but have seen Transperth bus dip when tyre is on edge of elbow, road isn't necessarily wide enough. Elbow of road should be developed and not refilled with gravel (once in 3.5 years).</i></p>	<p>This section of road will be upgraded as part of ongoing development being done in the area, although a definite time frame has not yet been established. The City will continue to liaise with the developer regarding the details of these works. This is an operational matter and does not require a report to Council. <b>No further report required.</b></p>